



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJBcRR_7148
Title of the Manuscript:	Glucid factors of the inflorescence sap of four coconut (Cocos nucifera L.) cultivars from Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Good manuscript, but the level of the English is very poor. It needs to be improved to enable the publication of the manuscript. The analysis and discussion are ok!	We agree with this comment. So at once we checked the errors throughout the manuscript in order to improve the level of the English language.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Explain better how to obtain the coconut sap. I think it isn't a product known all over the world.	We partially agree with this comment. Indeed, the coconut sap is not a new product. In addition, many papers have been already published concerning the process of coconut sap production, such as Nakamura et al (2004), Konan et al (2013) and Cortázar et al (2010). Nevertheless, we really found necessary to add useful details that could help readers to understand our method of production of the coconut sap.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		